

FLAT DIMENSION GROWTH FOR C^* -ALGEBRAS

ANDREW S. TOMS

ABSTRACT. Simple and nuclear C^* -algebras which fail to absorb the Jiang-Su algebra tensorially have settled many open questions in the theory of nuclear C^* -algebras, but have been little studied in their own right. This is due partly to a dearth of invariants sensitive to differences between such algebras. We present two new real-valued invariants to fill this void: the dimension-rank ratio (for unital AH algebras), and the radius of comparison (for unital and stably finite algebras). We establish their basic properties, show that they have natural connections to ordered K-theory, and prove that the range of the dimension-rank ratio is exhausted by simple algebras (this last result shows the class of simple, nuclear and non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras to be uncountable). In passing, we establish a theory of moderate dimension growth for AH algebras, the existence of which was first supposed by Blackadar. The minimal instances of both invariants are shown to coincide with the condition of being tracially AF among simple unital AH algebras of real rank zero and stable rank one, whence they may be thought of as generalised measures of dimension growth. We argue that the radius of comparison may be thought of as an abstract version of the dimension-rank ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Jiang-Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ is by now well known in the study of nuclear C^* -algebras. All evidence indicates that the property of being $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable — a C^* -algebra A is said to be $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable if $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong A$ — is connected naturally to Elliott's program to classify separable and nuclear C^* -algebras ([8]); examples due to Rørdam and the author show that the largest class of simple, separable, unital and nuclear C^* -algebras which may be classified up to $*$ -isomorphism by the Elliott invariant consists of those algebras which are, in addition, $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable ([27], [30], [31]). It has been surprising to find that almost all of our stock-in-trade simple, separable, and nuclear C^* -algebras are $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable ([15], [35]).

Little is known about non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras in general, save that they seem able to exhibit arbitrarily strange behaviour. Specific examples of such algebras have, over the past several years, been used to settle many open questions in the theory of separable and nuclear C^* -algebras — see [27], [29], [30], [31], [32], [36], and [37] — but no attempt has been made to study their structure systematically. In this paper — a sequel to [33] in design, though technically independent of it — we study these algebras through the introduction of invariants which distill purely non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable information: they are insensitive to differences between $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable algebras, while detecting differences between non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras not readily manifest in known invariants.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L35, Secondary 46L80.

Key words and phrases. Nuclear C^* -algebras, non-commutative dimension.

This work was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

In the early sections of the sequel we concentrate on approximately homogeneous (AH) C^* -algebras, as these provide the most tractable examples of simple and non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras. Recall that a *homogeneous* C^* -algebra has the form

$$p(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p,$$

where X is a compact Hausdorff space, $\mathcal{K}$ is the algebra of compact operators on a separable and infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and $p \in C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a projection of constant rank. A *semi-homogeneous* C^* -algebra is a finite direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras.

Definition 1.1 (Blackadar, [2]). *An approximately homogeneous (AH) C^* -algebra is an inductive limit*

$$A = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i),$$

where each A_i is semi-homogeneous.

Let

$$(1) \quad A \cong \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i)$$

be an unital (i.e., both A_i and $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ are unital for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$) AH algebra, where

$$(2) \quad A_i := \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_i} p_{i,l}(C(X_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{i,l}$$

for compact Hausdorff spaces $X_{i,l}$, projections $p_{i,l} \in C(X_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, and natural numbers m_i . Put

$$\phi_{ij} = \phi_{j-1} \circ \phi_{j-2} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_i,$$

and write $\phi_{i\infty} : A_i \rightarrow A$ for the canonical map. We refer to this collection of objects and maps as a *decomposition* for A . If the ϕ_i are injective, then we will refer to this collection as an *injective decomposition*.

Definition 1.2. *Let A be an unital AH algebra. Say that A has flat dimension growth if it admits a decomposition for which*

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} < \infty.$$

A simple unital AH algebra A admitting a decomposition for which (3) is zero is said to have *slow dimension growth* ([3]). (There are definitions of slow dimension growth for non-simple algebras in [21] and [10], but we will not require them here. Suffice it to say that these definitions coincide with Definition 1.2 for simple algebras.) If (3) is finite for some decomposition of A , then we may, by passing to a subsequence, replace the $\limsup$ by a limit; proofs in the sequel will exploit this.

The beginnings of Definition 1.2 are contained in Blackadar's 1991 survey article "Matricial and Ultramatricial Topology" ([2]). At the time, all known simple unital AH algebras had slow dimension growth, but Blackadar mused nonetheless about the possible existence of a theory of "AH algebras with moderate dimension growth" (synonymous with our flat dimension growth). His hoped-for theory was made plausible when Villadsen provided the first examples of simple unital AH algebras without slow dimension growth in 1996 ([36]). In the sequel we prove that there does indeed exist a theory of flat dimension growth for AH algebras, and that the

natural way to study this theory is through an invariant we call the *dimension-rank ratio*. This invariant for unital AH algebras takes values in the nonnegative reals and recovers, roughly, the minimum possible value of the limit in (3). It turns out to be of the variety we seek: it is insensitive to differences between $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable algebras (provided that they are simple and of real rank zero); it detects subtle differences between non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable algebras. It is also naturally connected to ordered K-theory. These connections lead us to define an invariant for general unital and stably finite C^* -algebras — the *radius of comparison* — which measures of the failure of comparison in the Cuntz semigroup. This, we argue, is the appropriate abstraction of the dimension-rank ratio. Both invariants can be viewed as measuring the ratio of the matricial size of a C^* -algebra to its topological dimension (as constituted by Kirchberg and Winter's decomposition rank — see [17]), despite the fact that both quantities are frequently infinite for non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable algebras.

Our paper is organised as follows: in section 2 we give the precise definition of the dimension-rank ratio, give a formula for it in the case of semi-homogeneous C^* -algebras, and examine its behaviour with respect to common constructions; in section 3 we draw connections between the dimension-rank ratio and ordered K-theory; section 4 shows that, among simple algebras of real rank zero, the minimal instance of the dimension-rank ratio coincides with the condition of being tracially AF; the range of the dimension-rank ratio is shown to be exhausted by simple algebras in section 5; section 6 introduces the radius of comparison, defined for any unital and stably finite C^* -algebra, and establishes analogues of some of our earlier results on the dimension-rank ratio.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Bruce Blackadar and Francesc Perera for several helpful discussions, and Cornel Pasnicu for several comments on earlier versions of this paper. Some of this work was done during a workshop at Oberwolfach in August, 2005. The author would like to thank the organisers of the workshop, the institute, and its staff for their support. Finally, we thank the referee for a careful reading of our manuscript, and in particular for suggestions which considerably improved our exposition in section 2.

2. THE DIMENSION-RANK RATIO OF AN AH ALGEBRA

Definition 2.1. *Let A be an unital AH algebra. Define the dimension-rank ratio of A (write $\text{drr}(A)$) to be the infimum of the set of strictly positive reals c such that A has a decomposition satisfying*

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} = c,$$

whenever this set is not empty, and ∞ otherwise.

By compressing the inductive sequence decomposition for A if necessary, one can replace the $\limsup$ of Definition 2.1 with a limit. It is sketched in [2] and proved in [10] that the spaces $X_{i,l}$ in an injective decomposition for an unital AH algebra A can always be replaced by CW-complexes $\hat{X}_{i,l}$ of the same dimension. From here on we will assume, unless otherwise noted, that the $X_{i,l}$ s are CW-complexes. It is also true that if one has a decomposition for A as in Definition 2.1 which is not injective, then it can be replaced with an injective decomposition for which the limit in Definition 2.1 is no larger (cf. [9]). Thus, we may assume that the decomposition of the definition is injective whenever this is convenient.

Our first proposition collects some basic properties of the dimension-rank ratio.

Proposition 2.2. *Let A, B be unital AH algebras, and I an ideal of A . Then:*

- (i) $\text{drr}(A/I) \leq \text{drr}(A)$;
- (ii) $\text{drr}(A \oplus B) = \max\{\text{drr}(A), \text{drr}(B)\}$;
- (iii) $\text{drr}(A \otimes M_k) \leq (1/k)\text{drr}(A)$;
- (iv) *if A and B are simple and of finite dimension-rank ratio, then $A \otimes B$ has slow dimension growth and $\text{drr}(A \otimes B) = 0$.*

Proof. For (i), let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and fix an injective decomposition for A such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} = \text{drr}(A) + \epsilon.$$

Let I be an ideal of A , and let $\phi_{i\infty} : A_i \rightarrow A$ be the canonical map. Then, $I_i := \phi_{i\infty}^{-1}(I)$ is an ideal of A_i for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\psi_i : A_i/I_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}/I_{i+1}$ by

$$\psi_i(a + I_i) = \phi_i(a) + I_{i+1}.$$

One can then check that $A/I = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i/I_i, \psi_i)$. It is well known that

$$A_i/I_i = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_i} p_{i,l}(C(Y_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{i,l}$$

for closed subspaces $Y_{i,l} \subseteq X_{i,l}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq l \leq m_i$. Since $\dim(Y_{i,l}) \leq \dim(X_{i,l})$, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(Y_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} \leq \text{drr}(A) + \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary, we conclude that $\text{drr}(A/I) \leq \text{drr}(A)$.

For (ii), we clearly have $\text{drr}(A \oplus B) \leq \max\{\text{drr}(A), \text{drr}(B)\}$. A and B are ideals of $A \oplus B$, so we may use (i) to obtain the reverse inequality.

(iii) is straightforward.

For (iv), fix decompositions $A = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i)$ and $B = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (B_j, \psi_j)$, where

$$A_i = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_i} p_{i,l}(C(X_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{i,l}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_j = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{n_j} q_{j,s}(C(Y_{j,s}) \otimes \mathcal{K})q_{j,s}.$$

Assume, as we may, that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} = \text{drr}(A) + \epsilon_1$$

and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq s \leq n_j} \left\{ \frac{\dim(Y_{j,s})}{\text{rank}(q_{j,s})} \right\} = \text{drr}(B) + \epsilon_2,$$

for some $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. $A \otimes B$ is the limit of the inductive system $(A_i \otimes B_i, \phi_i \otimes \psi_i)$, and

$$A_i \otimes B_i = \bigoplus_{l,s} (p_{i,l} \otimes q_{j,s})(C(X_{i,l} \times Y_{j,s}) \otimes \mathcal{K})(p_{i,l} \otimes q_{j,s}).$$

We have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad \text{drr}(A \otimes B) &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{l,s} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l}) + \dim(Y_{i,s})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})\text{rank}(q_{i,s})} \right\} \\
(5) \quad &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{l,s} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})\text{rank}(q_{i,s})} + \frac{\dim(Y_{i,s})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})\text{rank}(q_{j,s})} \right\} \\
(6) \quad &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{l,s} \left\{ \frac{\text{drr}(A) + \epsilon_1}{\text{rank}(q_{i,s})} + \frac{\text{drr}(B) + \epsilon_2}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since A and B are simple, we have

$$\text{rank}(q_{i,s}), \text{rank}(p_{i,l}) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \infty.$$

It follows that the right hand side of (6) is equal to zero, whence $A \otimes B$ has slow dimension growth and $\text{drr}(A \otimes B) = 0$. $\square$

We suspect that equality holds in (iii) above, but it is unclear how a proof might proceed; a decomposition for $A \otimes M_k$ need not respect the tensor product structure, and so does not give rise to an obvious decomposition of A . An inductive limit of AH algebras is only approximated locally by semi-homogeneous algebras, and the latter condition is strictly weaker than approximate homogeneity ([7]). Thus, it does not make sense to investigate the behaviour of the dimension-rank ratio for inductive limits of AH algebras.

Our first theorem shows that the dimension-rank ratio behaves as one would like for semi-homogeneous C^* -algebras. Note that the spectrum of B in the proposition below need not be a CW-complex, and need not be of finite covering dimension.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $B = \oplus_{j=1}^n B_j$ be a direct sum of homogeneous C^* -algebras*

$$B_j = p_j(C(X_j) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_j,$$

Then,

$$\text{drr}(B) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_j)}{\text{rank}(p_j)} \right\}.$$

Proof. By part (i) of Proposition 2.2, it will be enough to establish the theorem for $n = 1$ and X_1 connected.

Suppose first that $B = p(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p$ for some connected compact Hausdorff space X of finite covering dimension. Clearly,

$$\text{drr}(B) \leq \frac{\dim(X)}{\text{rank}(p)},$$

since we may write $B = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (B, \text{id}_B)$. Let $B = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i)$ be an injective decomposition for B , where the A_i and ϕ_i are as in (1) and (2). Let $\text{dr}(\bullet)$ denote the decomposition rank of a nuclear C^* -algebra. In [39] it is proved that

$$\text{dr}(p(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p) = \dim(X)$$

whenever X is a compact. Section 3 of [17] shows that

$$\text{dr}(C \oplus D) = \max\{\text{dr}(C), \text{dr}(D)\}$$

for any nuclear C and D . It follows that $\text{dr}(B) = \dim(X)$, and that

$$\text{dr}(A_i) = \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \{\dim(X_{i,l})\}.$$

If $\text{dr}(A_i) \leq n$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\text{dr}(B) \leq n$, again by section 3 of [17]. By dropping terms from the inductive sequence for B , we may assume that $\text{dr}(A_i) = \text{dr}(B)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words there exists, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, an $1 \leq l_i \leq m_i$ such that

$$\dim(X_{i,l_i}) = \dim(X).$$

If

$$\text{rank}(p_{i,l}) > \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\text{rank}(p_j)\},$$

then the canonical map from $p_{i,l}(\mathcal{C}(X_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{i,l}$ to B must be zero, contradicting the injectivity of the decomposition. Thus,

$$\text{rank}(p_{i,l}) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\text{rank}(p_j)\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall 1 \leq l \leq m_i.$$

Suppose that

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} < \frac{\dim(X)}{\text{rank}(p)}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{\dim(X_{i,l_i})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l_i})} < \frac{\dim(X)}{\text{rank}(p)},$$

which, since $\dim(X_{i,l_i}) = \dim(X)$, implies that

$$\frac{1}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l_i})} < \frac{1}{\text{rank}(p)}.$$

But this implies that $\text{rank}(p_{i,l_i}) > \text{rank}(p)$, a contradiction. It follows that

$$\text{drr}(p(\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p) = \frac{\dim(X)}{\text{rank}(p)}.$$

If X is infinite-dimensional, then the decomposition rank argument from the second paragraph of the proof allows us to assume that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $1 \leq l_i \leq m_i$ such that

$$\dim(X_{i,l_i}) \geq i,$$

and that the partial map from $p_{i,l_i}(\mathcal{C}(X_{i,l_i}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{i,l_i}$ is not zero. On the other hand, rank considerations show that there is $M > 0$ such that $\text{rank}(p_{i,j}) < M$ whenever the partial map from $p_{i,j}(\mathcal{C}(X_{i,j}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{i,j}$ is not zero. Thus,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} = \infty$$

for every decomposition, and $\text{drr}(B) = \infty$, as desired. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $A = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i)$ be an unital AH algebra, where each A_i is semi-homogeneous. Then, $\text{drr}(A) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{drr}(A_i)$.*

Proof. There is a sequence $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ of natural numbers such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{drr}(A_{n_k}) = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{drr}(A_i)$$

in the extended reals, and $A = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (A_{n_k}, \phi_{n_k})$. Assuming the notation from (2) for the A_{n_k} s, we have

$$\text{drr}(A_{n_k}) = \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_{n_k}} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{n_k,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{n_k,l})} \right\} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{drr}(A_i).$$

This gives $\text{drr}(A) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{drr}(A_i)$ by definition. $\square$

We conclude this section by noting a connection between the dimension-rank ratio and Rieffel's stable rank for C^* -algebras ([25]). Let $\text{sr}(A)$ denote the stable rank of a C^* -algebra A , and let $\lceil x \rceil$ (resp. $\lfloor x \rfloor$) denote the least (resp. greatest) integer greater (resp. less) than $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the following formula, established by Nistor in [22]:

$$(7) \quad \text{sr}(p(\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p) = \left\lceil \frac{\lfloor \dim(X)/2 \rfloor}{\text{rank}(p)} \right\rceil + 1$$

whenever X is a compact Hausdorff space and $p \in \mathcal{C}(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a projection of constant rank. Clearly, the right hand side is all but equal to $2\text{drr}(p(\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p)$, with any difference owing to the fact that the dimension-rank ratio need not be an integer. This observation leads to:

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be an unital AH algebra. Then,*

$$\text{drr}(A) \geq \frac{\text{sr}(A)}{2} - 1.$$

Proof. The proposition is trivial if $\text{sr}(A) = 1, 2$.

Suppose that $\text{sr}(A) < \infty$. Theorem 5.1 of [22] states that if $A = \lim_i (A_i, \phi_i)$ is an inductive limit algebra where $\text{sr}(A_i) \leq n$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\text{sr}(A) \leq n$. Thus, we may assume that regardless of the decomposition $A = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i)$, one has $\text{sr}(A_i) \geq \text{sr}(A)$. If the A_i are direct sums of homogeneous building blocks as in equation (2), then by (7) above we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{\lfloor \dim(X_{i,l})/2 \rfloor}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\rceil + 1 \geq \text{sr}(A)$$

for some $1 \leq l \leq m_i$. Straightforward calculation yields $\text{drr}(A_i) \geq (\text{sr}(A) - 2)/2$, so that $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{drr}(A_i) \geq (\text{sr}(A) - 2)/2$. Since the decomposition of A was arbitrary, we conclude that $\text{drr}(A) \geq (\text{sr}(A) - 2)/2$.

The case of $\text{sr}(A) = \infty$ is similar. $\square$

3. ORDERED K-THEORY

In this section we establish connections between the dimension-rank ratio and the ordered K-theory of AH algebras. We examine first the case of a homogeneous C^* -algebra with spectrum a CW-complex of finite dimension.

Theorem 3.1 (Husemoller, Theorems 1.2 and 1.5, Chapter 8, [14]). *Let X be an n -dimensional CW-complex, and let γ, ω be complex vector bundles over X .*

- (i) *If γ and ω are stably isomorphic and the fibre dimension of γ is greater than or equal to $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, then γ and ω are isomorphic.*
- (ii) *If the fibre dimension of γ exceeds that of ω by an amount greater than or equal to $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, then ω is isomorphic to a sub-bundle of γ .*

Making the identifications

$$K_0(p(\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p) \equiv K_0(\mathcal{C}(X)) \equiv K^0(X),$$

we recast Theorem 3.1 in terms of K-theory (this is standard fare). Let $p, r \in M_\infty(\mathcal{C}(X))$ be projections, and let $[p], [r]$ denote their K_0 -classes. Then parts (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1 are equivalent to the following two statements, respectively:

- (i) if $[p] = [r]$ and $\text{rank}(p) \geq \lceil \dim(X)/2 \rceil$, then p and r are Murray-von Neumann equivalent;

- (ii) if $\text{rank}(p) - \text{rank}(r) \geq \lceil \dim(X)/2 \rceil$, then r is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of p ($r \prec p$). In particular $[p] - [r] \in K_0(C(X))^+$.

Let A be an unital stably finite C^* -algebra, and let $\text{QT}(A)$ denote the compact convex set of normalised quasi-traces on A . (A deep theorem of Haagerup ([13]) asserts that every quasi-trace on an unital and exact C^* -algebra A is a trace. Thus, when A is exact, unital, and stably finite, we identify $\text{QT}(A)$ with the space $T(A)$ of normalised traces on A .) We recall three familiar concepts in the K-theory of C^* -algebras:

- (i) If projections $p, q \in M_\infty(A)$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent whenever $[p] = [q] \in K_0 A$, then A is said to have *cancellation of projections* (or simply *cancellation*).
- (ii) If the condition that $\tau(p) < \tau(q)$ for every $\tau \in \text{QT}(A)$ implies that p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of q , then we say that A has (FCQ) — A satisfies *Blackadar's Second Fundamental Comparability Question*.
- (iii) If, given elements x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 in a partially ordered Abelian group (G, G^+) such that $x_i \leq y_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, there exists $z \in G$ such that $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then we say that G has the *Riesz interpolation property* (or simply *interpolation*).

Our next definition generalises these notions and another besides.

Definition 3.2. Let A be an unital and stably finite C^* -algebra, $p, q \in M_\infty(A)$ projections, and $r \geq 0$.

- (i) Say that A has r -cancellation if p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent whenever $[p] = [q]$ and

$$\tau(p) = \tau(q) > r, \quad \forall \tau \in \text{QT}(A).$$

- (ii) Say that A has r -(FCQ) if p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of q whenever

$$\tau(p) + r < \tau(q), \quad \forall \tau \in \text{QT}(A).$$

- (iii) Let (G, G^+, u) be a partially ordered Abelian group with distinguished order unit u and state space $S(G)$. Let $r > 0$. Say that G has r -interpolation if whenever one has elements $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in G$ such that $x_i \leq y_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, and

$$s(x_i) + r < s(y_j), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall s \in S(G),$$

then there exists $z \in G$ such that $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

- (iv) Let (M, u) be a positive ordered semigroup with distinguished strong order unit u and state space $S(M)$. Let $r > 0$ and $x, y \in M$. Say that M has r -strict comparison if

$$s(x) + r < s(y), \quad \forall s \in S(M),$$

implies that $x \leq y$ in M .

We will prove that the elements of Definition 3.2 are connected naturally to the dimension-rank ratio.

To prepare the next proposition, recall that a positive ordered semigroup $(M, \leq)$ is said to have an *algebraic order* if whenever one has $x, y \in M$ such that $x \leq y$, then there is $z \in M$ such that $x + z = y$. M is said to be *cancellative* if whenever one has elements $x, y, z \in M$ such that $x + z = y + z$, then $x = y$ (cf. [11]).

Proposition 3.3. *Let (M, v) be a positive ordered semigroup with distinguished strong order unit v . Suppose that the order on M is algebraic, and that M is cancellative. Let G be the Grothendieck enveloping group of M . Let $\iota : M \rightarrow G$ denote the Grothendieck map, and put $G^+ = \iota(M)$, $u = \iota(v)$. Let $S(G)$ denote the state space of G .*

Let $r > 0$ and $x, y \in G$. Then,

$$s(x) + r < s(y), \quad \forall s \in S(G),$$

implies that $x \leq y$ in G if and only if (M, v) has r -strict comparison.

Proof. Our hypotheses on M imply that $(M, v) \cong (\iota(M), \iota(v)) = (G^+, u)$, whence (G^+, u) has r -strict comparison if and only if (M, v) does.

We may identify $S(G)$ and $S(G^+)$, whence the forward implication follows from restricting to G^+ . (There is a subtle point here: states on partially ordered Abelian groups are merely positive homomorphisms into the reals which take the order unit to $1 \in \mathbb{R}$, whereas states on ordered Abelian semigroups are, in addition, order preserving. We are using the fact that $\iota(M) \cong M$ whenever M is algebraically ordered and cancellative to make our identification of state spaces ([11]). We are grateful to Francesc Perera for pointing this out to us.)

Now suppose that (G^+, u) has r -strict comparison. Let $x, y \in G$ and write

$$x = x_+ - x_-, \quad y = y_+ - y_-,$$

where $x_+, x_-, y_+, y_- \in G^+$. If

$$s(x) + r < s(y), \quad \forall s \in S(G),$$

then

$$s(x_+ + y_-) + r < s(y_+ + x_-), \quad \forall s \in S(G) \equiv S(G^+),$$

whence $x_+ + y_- \leq y_+ + x_-$ in G^+ . It follows that $x \leq y$, as desired. $\square$

In light of the proposition above, we will say that a partially ordered Abelian group (G, G^+, u) such that $G^+ \cong \iota(G^+)$ has r -strict comparison whenever (G^+, u) does; this definition makes sense for the ordered K_0 -group of an unital and stably finite C^* -algebra.

Proposition 3.4. *Let A be an unital and stably finite C^* -algebra, and (G, G^+, u) a partially ordered Abelian group with distinguished order unit u . Then, the following sets are closed:*

- (i) $A_1 := \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid A \text{ has } r\text{-cancellation}\};$
- (ii) $A_2 := \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid A \text{ has } r\text{-(FCQ)}\};$
- (iii) $A_3 := \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid G \text{ has } r\text{-strict comparison}\};$
- (iv) $A_4 := \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid G \text{ has } r\text{-interpolation}\}.$

Proof. For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ one has that $s \in A_i$ whenever $s > r$ and $r \in A_i$, so it will suffice to prove that $\alpha_i := \inf(A_i) \in A_i$. The proof of each case follows a common thread.

For (i), let there be given projections $p, q \in M_\infty(A)$ such that

$$[p] = [q], \quad \tau(p) = \tau(q) > \alpha_1, \quad \forall \tau \in \text{QT}(A).$$

The map $\tau \mapsto \tau(p)$ on $\text{QT}(A)$ is continuous and $\text{QT}(A)$ is compact, so this map achieves a minimum value $\delta > \alpha_1$. Since $\delta \in A_1$, we conclude that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, as desired.

For (ii), let there be given projections $p, q \in M_\infty(A)$ such that

$$\tau(p) + \alpha_2 < \tau(q), \quad \forall \tau \in QT(A).$$

The map $\tau \mapsto \tau(q) - \tau(p)$ is continuous on the compact space $QT(A)$, and so achieves a minimum value $\delta > \alpha_2$. Thus,

$$\tau(p) + \delta < \tau(q), \quad \forall \tau \in QT(A).$$

Since $\delta \in A_2$, the desired conclusion follows.

For (iii), let $x, y \in G^+$ be such that

$$s(x) + \alpha_3 < s(y), \quad \forall s \in S(G).$$

The map

$$s \mapsto s(y) - s(x)$$

is strictly positive and continuous, and the space $S(G)$ is compact (cf. Proposition 6.2, [11]). Thus, this map achieves a minimum value $\delta > \alpha_3$. We now have

$$s(x) + \delta < s(y), \quad \forall s \in S(G).$$

Since $\delta \in A_3$, the desired conclusion follows.

For (iv), let there be given elements $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in G$ satisfying

$$s(x_i) + \alpha_4 < s(y_j), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall s \in S(G).$$

For each pair (i, j) , $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, there exists $r_{i,j} > 0$ such that

$$s(x_i) + \alpha_4 + r_{i,j} < s(y_j), \quad \forall s \in S(G).$$

Put $\delta = \alpha_4 + \min\{r_{1,1}, r_{1,2}, r_{2,1}, r_{2,2}\}$. Now

$$s(x_i) + \delta < s(y_j), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall s \in S(G),$$

and $\delta \in A_4$. We conclude that there is an interpolating element $z \in G$ such that $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$, $\forall i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. $\square$

Definition 3.2 can be used to summarise the natural connections between the K-theory of homogeneous C^* -algebras and their dimension-rank ratios.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $A = p(C(X) \otimes K)p$, where X is a connected CW-complex of finite dimension. Then:*

- (i) A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2)$ -cancellation;
- (ii) A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2)$ -(FCQ);
- (iii) $K_0 A$ has $(\text{drr}(A) + 1/\text{rank}(p))$ -interpolation;
- (iv) $K_0 A^+$ has $(\text{drr}(A)/2)$ -strict comparison.

Proof. (i), (ii), and (iv) are straightforward: combine Definition 3.2 with Theorem 3.1, (ii). We prove (iii), which is slightly more involved.

Let s denote the unique (geometric) state on $K_0 A$, and recall that for a projection $r \in M_\infty(A)$ we have

$$s([r]) = \frac{\text{rank}(r)}{\text{rank}(p)}.$$

For the remainder of the proof, let $r, q \in M_\infty(A)$ be projections.

Assume that we are given four elements $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in K_0 A$ such that $x_i \leq y_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, and

$$(8) \quad s(x_i) + \text{drr}(A) + 1/\text{rank}(p) < s(y_j), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Every element $x \in K_0A$ can be written as a difference of K_0 -classes of projections, say $x = [q] - [r]$. The difference $\text{rank}(q) - \text{rank}(r)$ is commonly referred to as the *virtual dimension* of x . We will let $\text{rank}(x)$ denote this virtual dimension, thus extending the notion of rank to all of K_0A . With this notation we have

$$s(x) = \frac{\text{rank}(x)}{\text{rank}(p)}, \quad \forall x \in K_0A.$$

We may now rewrite (8) above as

$$\frac{\text{rank}(x_i)}{\text{rank}(p)} + \frac{\dim(X)}{\text{rank}(p)} + \frac{1}{\text{rank}(p)} < \frac{\text{rank}(y_j)}{\text{rank}(p)},$$

which yields

$$\text{rank}(y_j) - \text{rank}(x_i) > \dim(X) + 1, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Let z be any element of K_0A such that

$$\text{rank}(z) = \max\{\text{rank}(x_1), \text{rank}(x_2)\} + \lceil \dim(X)/2 \rceil.$$

Then

$$\text{rank}(z - x_i), \text{rank}(y_j - z) \geq \lceil \dim(X)/2 \rceil, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\},$$

and z is the desired interpolating element by Theorem 3.1, (ii). $\square$

We shall see below that Proposition 3.5 can be generalised to the setting of general unital AH algebras, provided that the algebras have ordered K_0 -groups which admit a unique state.

Example 3.6. While part (iii) of Proposition 3.5 gives a positive real r such that the algebra A as in the hypotheses has r -interpolation, it is not immediately clear that there may be a nonzero lower bound on the set of all such reals. But be one there may. Consider, for any natural number $n > 1$, the C^* -algebra $A = M_n(C(S^{2n}))$. Clearly, $\text{drr}(A) = 2$. The ordered K_0 -group of A is well known: it is isomorphic as a group to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$; the first co-ordinate is generated by the K^0 -class $[\theta_1]$ of the trivial line bundle θ_1 ; the second co-ordinate is generated by the difference $[\xi] - [\theta_n]$, where ξ is the bundle corresponding to the n -dimensional Bott projection and θ_n is the trivial bundle of fibre dimension n ; the positive cone K_0A^+ is

$$\{(x, y) | y = 0 \text{ and } x \geq 0\} \cup \{(x, y) | x \geq n\}.$$

Put

$$x_1 = 0 \oplus 0, \quad x_2 = 0 \oplus 1, \quad y_1 = n \oplus 0, \quad y_2 = n \oplus 1.$$

With the description of K_0A^+ in hand, one checks easily that

$$x_i \leq y_j, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

in K_0A , yet there is no $z \in K_0A$ which interpolates these four elements. The unique geometric state s on K_0A returns the rank of a K_0 element divided by n , whence

$$s(x_i) + r < s(y_j), \quad \forall r < 1, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Thus, K_0A does not have r -interpolation for any $r < \text{drr}(A)/2$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $A \cong \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i)$ be an unital AH algebra, where each A_i is homogeneous with connected spectrum. Then, $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A])$ is a simple partially ordered Abelian group admitting a unique state.*

Proof. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ write

$$A_i = p_i(C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_i,$$

where X_i is a compact connected Hausdorff space, and $p_i \in C(X_i) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a projection. As noted following Definition 2.1, the X_i may be assumed to have finite covering dimension (cf. [2], [10]). $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+)$ is a partially ordered Abelian group for every stably finite A (cf. [1, Chapter 6, Section 3]).

There is a unique (geometric) state on $K_0 A_i$ which returns the normalised rank of a projection corresponding to a positive K_0 -class, and is extended to all of $K_0 A_i$ by linearity. By Proposition 6.14 of [11], $S(K_0 A)$ is the inverse limit of the $S(K_0 A_i)$ s, whence $K_0 A$ admits a unique state.

It remains to prove that $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+)$ is a simple ordered group, i.e., that every non-zero positive element is an order unit. It will suffice to prove that each $(K_0(A_i), K_0(A_i)^+)$ is a simple ordered group. Each element of $K_0(A_i) = K^0(X_i)$ corresponds to a difference $x = [q] - [p]$, where $q, p \in M_\infty(A_i)$ are projections. Let $y = [e] - [f] \in K_0 A_i$, where $e, f \in M_\infty(A_i)$ are projections. If x is positive, then $\text{rank}(q) > \text{rank}(p)$. In particular, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\text{rank}(nx) - (\text{rank}([e]) - \text{rank}([f])) \geq \lceil \dim(X_i)/2 \rceil,$$

so $ny \geq y$ by Theorem 3.1, (ii), and x is an order unit. $\square$

We will need the following result to prove our next lemma:

Theorem 3.8 (Goodearl, Proposition 4.16, [11]). *Let (G, G^+, u) be a non-zero partially ordered Abelian group with distinguished order unit. If G admits a unique state s , then for any $x \in G^+$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) &= \inf\{l/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } nx \leq lu\} \\ &= \sup\{k/m \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, m \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } ku \leq mx\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.9. *Let A be an unital AH algebra, and suppose that $K_0(A)$ admits a unique state. Let there be given a decomposition of A as in equations (1) and (2) and a tolerance $\epsilon > 0$. Then, for any $x \in K_0(A)^+$ there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

- (i) x has a pre-image $x_j \in K_0(A_j)$;
- (ii) if

$$A_j = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_j} p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l},$$

and s_l denotes the state on $K_0(A_j)$ which is equal to the (unique) geometric state g_l on $K_0(p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l})$ and zero on the other direct summands of A_j , then

$$|s_l(x) - s(x)| < \epsilon, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m_j.$$

Proof. By truncating the given inductive sequence for A , we may assume that x has a pre-image in every A_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using Theorem 3.8, find non-negative integers r, n, k, m such that

$$r/n - s(x) < \epsilon/2, \quad s(x) - k/m < \epsilon/2,$$

$nx \leq r[1_A]$, and $k[1_A] \leq mx$ inside $K_0(A)$. The last two inequalities must hold already in some A_j , and, since $K_0(A_j)$ has the direct sum order coming from the

summands $K_0(p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l})$, they will still hold upon restricting to any such summand. Let x_l denote the restriction of x to $K_0(p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l})$. We have

$$nx_l \leq r[p_{j,l}], \quad k[p_{j,l}] \leq mx_l, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m_j.$$

Since the geometric state g_l on $K_0(p_{j,l}M_{k_{j,l}}(C(X_{j,l}))p_{j,l})$ preserves order, we conclude that

$$k/m \leq g_l(x_l) \leq r/n.$$

Since $g_l(x_l) = s_l(x)$, the lemma follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.10. *Let A be an unital AH algebra with $\text{drr}(A) < \infty$, and suppose that $K_0(A)$ admits a unique state s . Then:*

- (i) A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2)$ -cancellation;
- (ii) A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2)$ -(FCQ);
- (iii) K_0A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2)$ -strict comparison.

If, in addition, A is simple, then

- (iv) $K_0(A)$ has $(\text{drr}(A))$ -interpolation.

Proof. We prove that A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon)$ -cancellation, $(\text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon)$ -(FCQ), $(\text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon)$ -strict comparison, and $(\text{drr}(A) + \epsilon)$ -interpolation for every $\epsilon > 0$; the theorem then follows from Proposition 3.4. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

For (i), let there be given projections $p, q \in M_\infty(A)$ such that $[p] = [q]$ and

$$\tau(p) = \tau(q) = s([p]) = s([q]) > \text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon, \quad \forall \tau \in T(A).$$

Fix a decomposition $A = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i)$ where

$$A_i = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_i} p_{i,l}(C(X_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{i,l}$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{j,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{j,l})} \right\} \leq \text{drr} + \epsilon/2, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Use Lemma 3.9 to find $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p and q have pre-images at the level of K_0 (which are projections) $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$, respectively, in $M_\infty(A_j)$ with the properties that $[\tilde{p}] = [\tilde{q}]$, $s([\tilde{p}]) = s([\tilde{q}])$, and

$$|s_l([\tilde{p}]) - s([\tilde{p}])| = |s_l([\tilde{q}]) - s([\tilde{q}])| < \frac{s(p) - \text{drr}(A)}{4}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m_j.$$

Since $s_l([\tilde{p}])$ represents the normalised rank of $\tilde{p}$ restricted to the direct summand $p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l}$ of A_j , we conclude that this restriction is in the stable range of $K_0(p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l})$ (and similarly for the restriction of $\tilde{q}$). Thus, the said restrictions, having the same class in K_0 , are Murray-von Neumann equivalent by Theorem 3.1. It follows that $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, whence so are p and q . This shows that A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon)$ -cancellation. Since ϵ was arbitrary, this proves (i).

For (ii), (iii), and (iv) we will retain the decomposition of A from the proof of (i); for (ii) and (iii) we will retain as the pre-images $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$ of p and q above, with the property that

$$|s_l([\tilde{p}]) - s([\tilde{p}])|, |s_l([\tilde{q}]) - s([\tilde{q}])| < \frac{s(p) - \text{drr}(A)}{4}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m_j.$$

For (ii), let there be given projections $p, q \in M_\infty(A)$ such that

$$\tau(p) + \text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon < \tau(q), \quad \forall \tau \in T(A).$$

Since K_0A has a unique state s , the statement above is equivalent to

$$s([p]) + \text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon < s([q]).$$

Find pre-images $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$ as before. Then, the virtual dimension of the restriction of $[\tilde{q}] - [\tilde{p}]$ to a direct summand $p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l}$ of A_j is in the stable range of $K_0(p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l})$, whence the said restriction is positive. The direct sum of these restrictions, namely, $[\tilde{q}] - [\tilde{p}]$ itself, is then positive. Write $[\tilde{q}] = [\tilde{p}] + [r]$ for some projection $r \in M_\infty(A_j)$. Since

$$s([\tilde{p}]) + s([r]) = s([\tilde{q}]) = s([q]) > \text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon,$$

we conclude by (i) that $\tilde{p} \oplus r$ and $\tilde{q}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent. It follows that $\tilde{p}$ is equivalent to a subprojection of $\tilde{q}$, and similarly for p and q . This proves that A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon)$ -(FCQ), and so proves (ii).

K_0A has $(\text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon)$ -strict comparison if and only if the same is true of the semigroup $(K_0A^+, [1_A])$. The latter condition is equivalent to the statement that for $[p], [q] \in K_0A^+$ such that

$$s([p]) + \text{drr}(A)/2 + \epsilon < s([q]),$$

one has $[p] \leq [q]$. This, in turn, follows from (i), proving (iii).

For (iv), we must prove that for any $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in K_0A$ such that

$$x_i \leq y_j, \quad s(x_i) + \text{drr}(A) + \epsilon < s(y_j), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\},$$

there exists $z \in K_0A$ such that $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. We may assume that $x_1 = 0$ and put $x_2 = x$, for convenience — (iv) then follows by translating z .

Fix projections $p_{y_1}, p_{y_2}, p_x^+, p_x^- \in M_\infty(A)$ such that

$$y_1 = [p_{y_1}], \quad y_2 = [p_{y_2}]; \quad x = [p_x^+] - [p_x^-].$$

Find, as in the proof of (i), some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p_{y_1}, p_{y_2}, p_x^+ , and p_x^- have pre-images (at the level of K_0) $\tilde{p}_{y_1}, \tilde{p}_{y_2}, \tilde{p}_x^+$, and $\tilde{p}_x^-$ (all projections), respectively, in $M_\infty(A_j)$, with the property that

$$|s_l([\tilde{q}]) - s([\tilde{q}])| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \quad \forall q \in \{p_{y_1}, p_{y_2}, p_x^+, p_x^-\}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m_j.$$

We may assume, by the simplicity of A , that j has also been chosen large enough to ensure that $1/\text{rank}(p_{j,l}) \ll \epsilon/4$, $1 \leq l \leq m_j$.

Fix a summand $A_{j,l} = p_{j,l}(C(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l}$ of A_j . This, by Proposition 3.5, (iii), has

$$\frac{\dim(X_{j,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{j,l})} + \frac{1}{\text{rank}(p_{j,l})} \leq \text{drr}(A) + \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/4 = \text{drr}(A) + 3\epsilon/4$$

interpolation. The restrictions of $\tilde{p}_{y_1}, \tilde{p}_{y_2}, \tilde{p}_x^+$, and $\tilde{p}_x^-$ to $A_{j,l}$ are such that:

$$\text{drr}(A) + 3\epsilon/4 < s_l([\tilde{p}_{y_k}|_{A_{j,l}}]), \quad k \in \{1, 2\};$$

$$s_l([\tilde{p}_x^+|_{A_{j,l}}] - [\tilde{p}_x^-|_{A_{j,l}}]) + \text{drr}(A) + 3\epsilon/4 < s_l([\tilde{p}_{y_k}|_{A_{j,l}}]), \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

It follows that there exists $z_l \in K_0A_{j,l}$ such that

$$0, [\tilde{p}_x^+|_{A_{j,l}}] - [\tilde{p}_x^-|_{A_{j,l}}] \leq z_l \leq [\tilde{p}_{y_1}|_{A_{j,l}}], [\tilde{p}_{y_2}|_{A_{j,l}}].$$

Thus,

$$0, [\tilde{p}_x^+] - [\tilde{p}_x^-] \leq \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_j} z_l \leq [\tilde{p}_{y_1}], [\tilde{p}_{y_2}]$$

in $K_0 A_j$, and, upon taking images in $K_0 A$ and setting $z = K_0(\phi_{j\infty})(\oplus_{l=1}^{m_j} z_l)$,

$$0, \ x \leq z \leq y_1, \ y_2,$$

as desired. $\square$

4. A CLASSIFICATION RESULT

Clearly, slow dimension growth implies $\text{drr} = 0$. This begs the obvious question:

Question 4.1. *Does $\text{drr}(A) = 0$ imply that A has slow dimension growth for every simple unital AH algebra A ?*

The next theorem and corollary provide a positive answer to Question 4.1 in the case of simple algebras with real rank zero. It is plausible that this positive answer will extend to simple algebras of real rank one, too. Recall that a simple partially ordered Abelian group (G, G^+) is said to be *weakly unperforated* if $mx > 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G$ implies that $x > 0$ (Chapter 6, [1]).

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be an unital AH algebra such that $\text{drr}(A) = 0$, and suppose that $K_0 A$ is a simple ordered group. Then, $K_0 A$ is weakly unperforated, and A has cancellation.*

Proof. Suppose that $mx > 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G$. Since $K_0 A$ is a simple ordered group, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nm x > [1_A] \in K_0 A$. Since $\text{drr}(A) = 0$, we may choose an injective decomposition

$$A \cong \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(A_i := \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_i} p_{i,l} (C(X_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K}) p_{i,l}, \phi_i \right)$$

with the property that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} < \frac{1}{nm}.$$

Find a pre-image $x_i \in K_0 A_i$ of x such that $nm x_i > [1_{A_i}]$. Write $x_i = [p] - [q]$ for projections p and q in $M_\infty(A_i)$. Let $Sp(\bullet)$ denote spectrum of a C^* -algebra. Upon restricting to any direct summand B of A_i corresponding to a connected component of $Sp(A_i)$ one has

$$\text{rank}(p|_B) - \text{rank}(q|_B) > \frac{\text{rank}(1_B)}{nm} \geq \dim(Sp(B)).$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $[p|_B] - [q|_B] \in K_0 B^+$, whence x_i and its image $x \in K_0 A$ are positive. Thus, $K_0 A$ is weakly unperforated.

Now suppose that we are given projections $p, q \in M_\infty(A)$ such that $[p] = [q] \in K_0 A$. Since $K_0 A$ is a simple ordered group, every positive element is an order unit. Hence, there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m[p] = m[q] \geq [1_A]$. Find an injective decomposition for A as above, with the property that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} < \frac{1}{m}.$$

Find projections $p_i, q_i \in M_\infty(A_i)$, some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that p_i is a pre-image of p , q_i is a pre-image of q , $[p_i] = [q_i] \in K_0 A_i$, and $m[p_i] \geq [1_{A_i}] \in K_0 A_i$. Now, upon

restricting to any direct summand B of A_i corresponding to a connected component of the spectrum of A_i one has

$$\text{rank}(p_i|_B), \text{rank}(q_i|_B) > \frac{\text{rank}(1_B)}{m} \geq \dim(\text{Sp}(B)).$$

It follows that $p_i|_B$ and $q_i|_B$ are in the stable range of $K_0 B$, whence they are Murray-von Neumann equivalent by Theorem 3.1. It follows that p_i and q_i are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, and so are p and q . Thus, A has cancellation. $\square$

This is the natural point at which to prove the next corollary, but its statement refers to the almost unperforation of the Cuntz semigroup $W(A)$; we have yet to remind the reader of this notion. As we will have occasion to discuss this notion in depth in section 6, we defer our definition until then.

Corollary 4.3. *Let A be a simple unital AH algebra of real rank zero. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{drr}(A) = 0$;
- (ii) A is tracially AF;
- (iii) A has slow dimension growth;
- (iv) A is $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable;
- (v) $W(A)$ is almost unperforated and $\text{sr}(A) = 1$.

Proof. The equivalence of (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) is Theorem 3.13 of [35], and is the work of many hands, including Marius Dădărlat, George Elliott, Guihua Gong, Huaxin Lin, Mikael Rørdam, Wilhelm Winter, and the author.

If A has slow dimension growth, then $\text{drr}(A) = 0$ by definition. Thus, (iii) implies (i).

We now prove that (i) implies (ii). It follows from Theorem 4.2 that $K_0(A)$ is weakly unperforated and has cancellation of projections. Combining this with real rank zero yields stable rank one for A (Proposition 6.5.2, [1]). That A is tracially AF then follows from [20]. $\square$

Corollary 4.3 allows us to view the dimension-rank ratio as a measure of dimension growth which extends the existing notion of slow dimension growth. The condition $\text{drr} = 0$ is a more natural way to view slow dimension growth, since it has higher analogues in the form of non-zero dimension-rank ratios. As promised, the dimension-rank ratio is insensitive to differences between $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable algebras, provided that they are simple and of real rank zero.

5. THE RANGE OF THE DIMENSION-RANK RATIO

It is clear from Theorem 2.3 that the dimension-rank ratio may take any finite, nonnegative, and rational value. In fact, more is true:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$. There exists a simple, unital AH algebra A_c such that $K_0 A_c$ admits a unique state and $\text{drr}(A_c) = c$. Moreover, the stable rank of A_c is one.*

Proof. We address the extreme cases first. The case $c = 0$ is straightforward: any UHF algebra has $\text{drr} = 0$. For $c = \infty$, we use an existing example due to Villadsen. In [36], Villadsen constructs several simple unital AH algebras whose K_0 -groups admit a unique state s . One of these, say A , has unbounded perforation in its ordered K_0 -group — for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a non-positive element $x_n \in K_0 A$

such that $s(x_n) \geq n$. No matter how one decomposes A as an inductive limit of direct sums of homogeneous C^* -algebras — as

$$A = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i := \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_i} p_{i,l}(\mathcal{C}(X_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{i,l}, \phi_i),$$

say — one will always have x_n arising in the K_0 -group of A_j for all j greater than or equal to some $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $K_0 A$ admits a unique state, we may apply Lemma 3.9 to conclude that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is some $j \geq j_0$ with the following property: the restriction $x_{n,l}$ of x_n to the K_0 -group of the direct summand $p_{j,l}(\mathcal{C}(X_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l}$ of A_j satisfies

$$|s_l(x_{n,l}) - s(x_n)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall 1 \leq l \leq m_j.$$

Since

$$s_l(x_{n,l}) = \frac{\text{rank}(x_{n,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{j,l})},$$

we have

$$|\text{rank}(x_{n,l}) - s(x_n) \cdot \text{rank}(p_{j,l})| < \epsilon \cdot \text{rank}(p_{j,l})$$

and

$$\text{rank}(x_{n,l}) \geq (s(x_n) - \epsilon) \cdot \text{rank}(p_{j,l}) \geq (n - \epsilon) \cdot \text{rank}(p_{j,l}).$$

It follows that from Theorem 3.1, (ii) (rephrased in K-theoretic terms) that

$$\frac{\dim(X_{j,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{j,l})} > \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad \forall 1 \leq l \leq m_j.$$

Since n was arbitrary, we conclude that no matter the decomposition, $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{drr}(A_i) = \infty$; $\text{drr}(A) = \infty$ by definition.

Now suppose that $c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$. We construct A_c by methods similar to those of [36]. A_c will be the limit of an inductive sequence (B_i, ϕ_i) , where

$$B_i = M_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}(X_i)), \quad X_i = (\mathbb{S}^2)^{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i},$$

and $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ are to be specified.

Choose m_1 and n_1 so that $m_1/n_1 > c/2$. We have $X_{i+1} = (X_i)^{m_{i+1}}$ by construction. Let

$$\pi_i^j : (X_i)^{m_{i+1}} \rightarrow X_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m_{i+1},$$

be the co-ordinate projections. Define a map $\phi_i : B_i \rightarrow B_{i+1}$ by

$$\phi_i(f)(x) = \text{diag}(f \circ \pi_i^1(x), \dots, f \circ \pi_i^{m_{i+1}}(x), f(x_i^1), \dots, f(x_i^{s_{i+1}})),$$

where $s_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and the $x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{s_{i+1}} \in X_i$ are to be specified. Suppose that for $i \leq k$ we have chosen the parameters in our construction inductively so that

$$(9) \quad \frac{c}{2} < \frac{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}{n_k} < \frac{c}{2} + \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

We have

$$\frac{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_{k+1}}{n_{k+1}} = \frac{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_{k+1}}{n_k(m_{k+1} + s_{k+1})} = \frac{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}{n_k} \cdot \frac{m_{k+1}}{m_{k+1} + s_{k+1}}$$

by construction.

We may then choose m_{k+1} and $s_{k+1} \neq 0$ to satisfy

$$(10) \quad \frac{c}{2} < \frac{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_{k+1}}{n_{k+1}} < \frac{c}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}},$$

whence (9) holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem 1 of [36] shows that the points $x_{i-1}^1, \dots, x_{i-1}^{s_{i-1}} \in X_{i-1}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, may be chosen in a manner which makes the (unital) limit algebra $A_c = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (B_i, \phi_i)$ simple. By (10) we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim(X_i)}{n_i} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i}{n_i} = 2 \left(\frac{c}{2} \right) = c,$$

whence $\text{drr}(A_c) \leq c$.

In order to conclude that $\text{drr}(A_c) = c$, we must prove that any other decomposition of A satisfies

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(X_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} \geq c.$$

To this end we will employ the ordered K_0 -group of A_c .

Let ξ denote the Hopf line bundle over S^2 , and θ_l the trivial vector bundle of complex fibre dimension $l \in \mathbb{N}$ over an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space X . In [36] it is proved that the $K^0(X_i)$ -class

$$y_i := [\xi^{\times m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i}] - [\theta_1]$$

is not positive in either $K^0(X_i)$ or $K_0(A_c)$. By Lemma 3.7, $K_0(A_c)$ admits a unique state s , which is realised on B_i as the normalised geometric state — the state which returns the virtual dimension of a $K^0(X_i)$ -class divided by n_i . Thus,

$$s(y_i) = \frac{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i - 1}{n_i} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c}{2}.$$

Suppose that there exists an injective decomposition $A_c = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (A_i, \phi_i)$ with

$$A_i := \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m_i} p_{i,l} (C(Y_{i,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K}) p_{i,l}$$

and such that

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(Y_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} < c.$$

By compressing the inductive sequence in this decomposition we may assume that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(Y_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} < c.$$

Choose $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq m_i} \left\{ \frac{\dim(Y_{i,l})}{\text{rank}(p_{i,l})} \right\} < c - \epsilon, \quad \forall i \geq i_0.$$

Choose $j \geq i_0$ large enough so that $s(y_j) > (c - \epsilon)/2$ and $y_j \in K_0(A_j)$. Put

$$y_j^l = y_j|_{K_0(p_{j,l}(C(Y_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l})}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m_j,$$

so that $y_j = \oplus_l y_j^l$. Applying Lemma 3.9, we may have that

$$s(y_j^l) > \frac{c - \epsilon}{2}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m_j.$$

This, in turn, implies that the virtual dimension of each y_j^l is greater than $\lceil \dim(Y_{j,l})/2 \rceil$, whence each y_j^l is positive in $K_0(p_{j,l}(C(Y_{j,l}) \otimes \mathcal{K})p_{j,l})$. But then y_j must be positive, contradicting our choice of y_j .

That A_c has stable rank one follows from Lemma 9 and Proposition 10 of [36], upon noticing that the general construction of A_c is of the type described in section 2 of the same paper. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then, with A_c as in Theorem 5.1, we have*

$$\inf\{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid K_0 A_c \text{ has } s\text{-strict comparison}\} = \frac{\text{drr}(A)}{2} = \frac{c}{2}.$$

Proof. A_c has a K_0 -group which admits a unique state by Lemma 3.7. We may thus apply Theorem 3.10 to conclude that $K_0 A_c$ has $(\text{drr}(A)/2)$ -strict comparison. This proves the corollary if $c = 0$.

If $c > 0$, then $K_0 A_c$ does not have s -strict comparison for any $s < c/2$. Indeed, the element $y_i = [\xi^{\times m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i}] - [\theta_1]$ is not positive in $K_0 A_i$, and neither is its image in $K_0 A_c$. Applying the geometric state on $K_0 A_i$, one has

$$s([\theta_1]) = \frac{1}{n_i}; \quad s([\xi^{\times m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i}]) = \frac{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i}{n_i}.$$

Choosing i large enough so that $c/2 - 1/n_i > s$ we have

$$s([\theta_1]) + s < s([\xi^{\times m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i}]),$$

yet $[\theta_1] \not\leq [\xi^{\times m_1 m_2 \cdots m_i}]$. The corollary follows. $\square$

Corollary 5.3. *The class of simple, unital and non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable AH algebras is uncountable.*

Proof. The algebra A_c of Theorem 5.1 has a perforated ordered K_0 -group for each $c \neq 0$. Theorem 1 of [12] states that a simple, unital, finite, and $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebra has a weakly unperforated ordered K_0 -group, whence the A_c s in question are non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. $\square$

The pairwise non-isomorphic algebras constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.1 are difficult to distinguish from one another without using the dimension-rank ratio. Straightforward calculation shows that, for each $c \neq 0$, $T(A_c)$ is a Bauer simplex with extreme boundary homeomorphic to $(S^2)^\infty$, and $K_1 A_c = 0$. Computing the ordered group $K_0 A_c$ is not feasible — the order structure on $K_0(S^2)^n$ is not known for general n .

6. ABSTRACTING THE DIMENSION-RANK RATIO

The dimension-rank ratio functions well as an invariant tailored for the study of unital and non- $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable AH algebras, so it is natural to ask whether there exists an invariant defined for any unital and stably finite C^* -algebra which recovers (or is at least closely related to) the dimension-rank ratio upon restricting to the subclass of unital AH algebras. In this section, we present a candidate for such an invariant.

One could, in light of Corollary 5.2, be forgiven for wondering briefly if the extended real

$$\inf\{s \mid K_0 A \text{ has } s\text{-strict comparison}\}$$

might be the invariant we seek. The algebra $C([0, 1]^n)$ dispels this notion: its K_0 -group has comparison, yet $\text{drr}(C([0, 1]^n)) = n$. There is, however, a different version of ordered K -theory, whose prospects for recovering the dimension-rank ratio are distinctly better than those of the K_0 -group.

Let A be a C^* -algebra. We recall the definition of the Cuntz semigroup $W(A)$ from [6]. (Our synopsis is essentially that of [27].) Let $M_n(A)^+$ denote the positive elements of $M_n(A)$, and let $M_\infty(A)^+$ be the disjoint union $\cup_{i=n}^\infty M_n(A)^+$. For $a \in M_n(A)^+$ and $b \in M_m(A)^+$ set $a \oplus b = \text{diag}(a, b) \in M_{n+m}(A)^+$, and write $a \precsim b$ if there is a sequence $\{x_k\}$ in $M_{m,n}(A)$ such that $x_k^* b x_k \rightarrow a$. Write $a \sim b$ if $a \precsim b$ and $b \precsim a$. Put $W(A) = M_\infty(A)^+ / \sim$, and let $\langle a \rangle$ be the equivalence class containing a . Then, $W(A)$ is a positive ordered Abelian semigroup when equipped with the relations:

$$\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle = \langle a \oplus b \rangle, \quad \langle a \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle \iff a \precsim b, \quad a, b \in M_\infty(A)^+.$$

The relation $\precsim$ reduces to Murray-von Neumann comparison when a and b are projections and A is stably finite.

In the case of a stably finite C^* -algebra A , the Cuntz semigroup may be thought of as a generalised version of the semigroup of Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in $M_\infty(A)$. If A is unital, then we scale $W(A)$ with $\langle 1_A \rangle$. Let $S(W(A))$ denote the set of additive and order preserving maps from $W(A)$ to $\mathbb{R}^+$ having the property that $s(\langle 1_A \rangle) = 1$, $\forall s \in S(W(A))$. Such maps are called *states*. Given $\tau \in \text{QT}(A)$, one may define a map $s_\tau : M_\infty(A)^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$(11) \quad s_\tau(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(a^{1/n}).$$

This map is lower semicontinuous, and defines a state on $W(A)$. Such maps are called *lower semicontinuous dimension functions*, and the set of them is denoted $\text{LDF}(A)$. $\text{QT}(A)$ is a simplex (Theorem II.4.4, [4]), and the map from $\text{QT}(A)$ to $\text{LDF}(A)$ defined by (11) is bijective and affine (Theorem II.2.2, [4]).

Definition 6.1. *Let A be an unital and stably finite C^* -algebra, and let $r > 0$.*

- (i) *Say that A has r -comparison if whenever one has positive elements $a, b \in M_\infty(A)$ such that*

$$s(\langle a \rangle) + r < s(\langle b \rangle), \quad \forall s \in \text{LDF}(A),$$

then $\langle a \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle$ in $W(A)$.

- (ii) *Define the radius of comparison of A , denoted $\text{rc}(A)$, to be*

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid (W(A), \langle 1_A \rangle) \text{ has } r\text{-comparison}\}$$

if it exists, and ∞ otherwise.

We summarise some properties of the radius of comparison which are more or less immediate from its definition. (Compare with Propositions 2.2 and 3.5.)

Proposition 6.2. *Let A, B be unital and stably finite C^* -algebras. Then:*

- (i) $\text{rc}(A \oplus B) = \max\{\text{rc}(A), \text{rc}(B)\}$;
- (ii) *if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\text{rc}(M_k(A)) = (1/k)\text{rc}(A)$;*
- (iii) *if I is an ideal of A , $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is the quotient map, and*

$$\pi^\# : \text{QT}(A/I) \rightarrow \text{QT}(A)$$

is surjective, then $\text{rc}(A/I) \leq \text{rc}(A)$;

- (iv) *A has $\text{rc}(A)$ -(FCQ);*
- (v) *$(K_0 A^+, [1_A])$ has $\text{rc}(A)$ -strict comparison.*

Proof. For (i), use the fact that $\text{LDF}(A \oplus B)$ is the convex hull of $\text{LDF}(A)$ and $\text{LDF}(B)$ to obtain $\text{rc}(A \oplus B) \leq \max\{\text{rc}(A), \text{rc}(B)\}$. The reverse inequality will follow from (iii).

(ii) is straightforward from Definition 6.1.

For (iii), let there be given positive elements $a, b \in M_\infty(A/I)$ such that

$$s(\langle a \rangle) + r < s(\langle b \rangle), \quad \forall s \in \text{LDF}(A/I), \quad \text{some } r > \text{rc}(A).$$

We may find positive elements $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in M_\infty(A)$ such that $\pi(\tilde{a}) = a$ and $\pi(\tilde{b}) = b$ (lift to self-adjoint elements and apply the functional calculus). Let $d_\tau \in \text{LDF}(A)$ be a state corresponding to a normalised quasi-trace τ on A . Then, $\tau = \pi^\#(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in \text{QT}(A/I)$ by assumption, and

$$d_\tau(\langle \tilde{a} \rangle) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\tau(\tilde{a}^{1/n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(a^{1/n}) = s_\eta(\langle a \rangle)$$

for the state s_η corresponding to some $\eta \in \text{QT}(A/I)$. It follows that

$$d_\tau(\langle \tilde{a} \rangle) + r < d_\tau(\langle \tilde{b} \rangle), \quad \forall d \in \text{LDF}(A), \quad \text{some } r > \text{rc}(A),$$

whence $\tilde{a} \lesssim \tilde{b}$ in $W(A)$. This implies the existence of a sequence $(v_k) \subseteq M_\infty(A)$ such that

$$v_k^* \tilde{b} v_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{a}.$$

Applying π to the expression above shows that $a \lesssim b$ in $W(A/I)$, as desired.

(iv) and (v) follow from the fact that there is an order unit preserving order embedding of the scaled ordered semigroup $(V(A), [1_A])$ of Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in $M_\infty(A)$ into $(W(A), \langle 1_A \rangle)$ whenever A is stably finite (cf. [28]). $\square$

The next proposition is the analogue of Proposition 3.4 for the radius of comparison.

Proposition 6.3. *Let A be an unital and stably finite C^* -algebra for which every $\tau \in \text{QT}(A)$ is faithful. Then, the set*

$$B := \{r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid W(A) \text{ has } r\text{-comparison}\}$$

is closed. In other words, $W(A)$ has $\text{rc}(A)$ -comparison.

Proof. If $B = \emptyset$, then it is closed; suppose that $B \neq \emptyset$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.4, we need only prove that $\alpha := \inf(B) \in B$. Let there be given $a, b \in W(A)$ satisfying

$$s(a) + \alpha < s(b), \quad \forall s \in \text{LDF}(A).$$

Suppose first that $a = \langle p \rangle$ for some projection $p \in M_\infty(A)$. Then, the map $\gamma_a : \text{QT}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $\gamma_a(s) = s(a)$ is continuous. By [24, Proposition 2.7], the map $\gamma_b : \text{QT}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $\gamma_b(s) = s(b)$ is lower semicontinuous. It follows that $\gamma_b - \gamma_a$ is lower semicontinuous and strictly positive on $\text{QT}(A)$. Since $\text{QT}(A)$ is compact, $\gamma_b - \gamma_a$ achieves a lower bound $\delta > 0$, whence

$$s(a) + \alpha + \delta/2 < s(b), \quad \forall s \in \text{LDF}(A).$$

$W(A)$ has $(\alpha + \delta/2)$ -comparison, and so $a \leq b$, as desired.

Now suppose that a is not Cuntz equivalent to any projection. By the functional calculus, we conclude that 0 is not an isolated point of the spectrum of a . Viewing a as the function $f(t) = t$ on its spectrum, we denote by $(a - \epsilon)_+$ the function $\max\{0, f(t) - \epsilon\}$ on the spectrum of a . By [16, Proposition 2.6], proving that $a \leq b$

is equivalent to proving that $\langle (a - \epsilon)_+ \rangle \leq b$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$. Let $g_\epsilon(t) \in C^*(a)$ be a function supported on $(0, \epsilon) \cap \sigma(a) (\neq \emptyset)$, where $\sigma(a)$ denotes the spectrum of a . Since $g_\epsilon(t) + (a - \epsilon)_+ \leq f(t) = a$, we have

$$s(a) - s((a - \epsilon)_+) \geq s(g_\epsilon), \quad \forall s \in \text{LDF}(A).$$

Let $\text{supp}(\bullet)$ denote the support of a function. Each $s \in \text{LDF}(A)$ is implemented on $C^*(a)$ by a probability measure μ_s in the following sense: for any $d \in C^*(a)$, $s(d) = \mu_s(\text{supp}(d))$. Moreover, our assumption about the faithfulness of quasitraces on A implies that $\mu_s(U) > 0$ for every open subset U of $\sigma(a)$. Thus, the map $\gamma_{g_\epsilon} : \text{QT}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $\gamma_{g_\epsilon}(s) = s(g_\epsilon) = \mu_s((0, \epsilon) \cap \sigma(a))$ is strictly positive, and, as above, lower semicontinuous. It follows that γ_{g_ϵ} achieves a lower bound on $\text{QT}(A)$, say δ_ϵ . Now

$$s((a - \epsilon)_+) + \alpha + \delta_\epsilon/2 < s(b), \quad \forall s \in \text{LDF}(A).$$

$W(A)$ has $(\alpha + \delta_\epsilon/2)$ -comparison for every $\epsilon > 0$, whence $\langle (a - \epsilon)_+ \rangle \leq b$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$. $\square$

Recall that $W(A)$ is said to be *almost unperforated* if $x \leq y$ in $W(A)$ whenever $mx \leq ny$ for natural numbers $m > n$ ([28]).

Proposition 6.4. *Let A be an unital and stably finite C^* -algebra for which every $\tau \in \text{QT}(A)$ is faithful. If $\text{rc}(A) = 0$, then $W(A)$ is almost unperforated.*

Proof. Let $m > n$ be natural numbers, and $x, y \in W(A)$ such that $mx \leq ny$. For any $s \in \text{LDF}(A)$ we have the following string of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq n \cdot s(y) - m \cdot s(x) \\ 0 &\leq n(s(y) - s(x)) - (m - n)s(x) \\ \frac{(m - n)s(x)}{n} &\leq s(y) - s(x). \end{aligned}$$

The map $\gamma : \text{QT}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $s \mapsto s(x)$ is thus strictly positive (since each $\tau \in \text{QT}(A)$ is faithful) and lower semicontinuous ([24, Proposition 2.7]). Since $\text{QT}(A)$ is compact, γ achieves a minimum value $\delta > 0$. Now $s(x) + \delta/2 < s(y)$, $\forall s \in \text{LDF}(A)$. Since $\text{rc}(A) = 0$, $W(A)$ has $(\delta/2)$ -comparison. We conclude that $x \leq y$ in $W(A)$, as desired. $\square$

Theorem 6.5 (Rørdam, Corollary 4.6, [28]). *Let A be a simple, unital, exact, stably finite C^* -algebra with $W(A)$ almost unperforated. Then, $W(A)$ has 0-comparison, and $\text{rc}(A) = 0$.*

Combining Proposition 6.4, Theorem 6.5, and Corollary 4.3, we conclude that $\text{drr} = 0$ and $\text{rc} = 0$ are equivalent for simple and infinite-dimensional AH algebras of real rank zero and stable rank one. We shall see in Corollary 6.7 below that if a semi-homogeneous algebra A has $W(A)$ almost unperforated and spectrum a CW-complex, then the dimension of its spectrum, and hence its dimension-rank ratio, is at most four; by Proposition 6.4, this conclusion holds *a fortiori* if the said semi-homogeneous algebra has $\text{rc} = 0$. If every finite-dimensional representation of A is large, then $\text{rc} = 0$ implies that $\text{drr} \approx 0$. $\text{drr}(A) = 0$ implies that the spectrum of A is zero-dimensional; $W(A)$ is then almost unperforated by Theorem 3.4 of [23]. Taken together, these results show the condition $\text{rc} = 0$ to be an appropriate abstraction of the condition $\text{drr} = 0$.

Theorem 6.6. *Let X be a CW -complex of finite dimension n , $p \in C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ a projection, and m the greatest nonnegative integer such that $2m < n$. Then,*

$$\text{rc}(p(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p) \geq \frac{m-1}{\text{rank}(p)}.$$

Proof. The theorem is trivial if $m \leq 1$, so suppose that $m \geq 2$. Choose an n -cell of X , say E . There is a subset A of E° homeomorphic to $(-1, 1)^n$. Let $\psi : A \rightarrow (-1, 1)^{2m+1}$ be the projection onto the first $2m+1$ co-ordinates of A , and let d be the usual Euclidean metric on $\text{Im}(\psi) = (-1, 1)^{2m+1}$. Put

$$Y := \{(x_1, \dots, x_{2m+1}) \in \text{Im}(\psi) \mid d((x_1, \dots, x_{2m+1}), (0, \dots, 0)) = 1/2\}$$

and

$$S := \{(x_1, \dots, x_{2m+1}) \in \text{Im}(\psi) \mid 1/3 < d((x_1, \dots, x_{2m+1}), (0, \dots, 0)) < 2/3\}.$$

Let $r : S \rightarrow Y$ be the projection along rays emanating from $(0, \dots, 0) \in \text{Im}(\psi)$. Put $O = \psi^{-1}(S)$ and $\pi = r \circ \psi$. We now have a closed subset Y of E° homeomorphic to S^{2m} , an open set O such that $E^\circ \supseteq O \supseteq Y$, and a continuous map $\pi : O \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi|_Y = \text{id}_Y$.

Recalling the description of $(K^0 S^{2m}, K^0 S^{2m+})$ from the example following Proposition 3.5, let ξ_m be a complex vector bundle over Y whose K^0 -class corresponds to $m \oplus 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K^0 S^{2m}$. ξ_m can be realised inside $M_{2m}(C(X))$. If θ_1 is the trivial complex line bundle over Y , then the class $[\theta_1]$ corresponds to the element $1 \oplus 0 \in K^0 S^{2m}$ and is clearly not dominated by $[\xi_m]$. Let $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function which vanishes off O and takes the value 1 at every point in the closure of some open set $V \supseteq Y$ such that $\overline{V} \subseteq O$. Define positive functions $a, b \in M_{2m}(C(X))$ by

$$a(x) = f(x)\pi^*(\xi_m); \quad b(x) = f(x)\pi^*(\theta_1).$$

We may think of a and b as being contained in $M_k(p(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p)$ for some sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We claim that $\langle b \rangle \not\leq \langle a \rangle$ in $W(p(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p)$. Indeed, since $f(y) = 1, \forall y \in Y$, and $\pi|_Y = \text{id}_Y$, we have that

$$a(y) = \xi_m(y), \quad b(y) = \theta_1(y), \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

$\langle b \rangle \leq \langle a \rangle$ implies that $\langle b|_Y \rangle \leq \langle a|_Y \rangle$ in $W(C(Y))$, but the second inequality contradicts the fact that θ_1 is not Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a subprojection of ξ_m (remember that the Cuntz equivalence relation reduces to Murray-von Neumann equivalence on projections in a stably finite algebra). The claim follows.

Choose a continuous function $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that g is identically zero on Y , and identically one on the complement of V . Define a positive element $v := g \cdot \theta_n$. Since v is zero on Y , the argument of the preceding paragraph shows that

$$\langle b \rangle \not\leq \langle a \oplus v \rangle.$$

The lower semicontinuous dimension functions on $A = p(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p$ correspond to normalised traces on A . This correspondence may be viewed as follows: each normalised trace τ corresponds to a probability measure μ_τ on X , and the dimension function d_τ is given by

$$d_\tau(\langle a \rangle) = \int_X \frac{\text{rank}(a)(x)}{\text{rank}(p)} d\mu_\tau.$$

Let $\tau \in \text{TA}$ be given. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{rank}(p) \cdot d_\tau(\langle a \oplus v \rangle) &= \int_X \text{rank}(a \oplus v)(x) d\mu_\tau \\
&= \int_{X \setminus V} n + \text{rank}(a)(x) d\mu_\tau + \int_{V \setminus Y} \text{rank}(v)(x) + m d\mu_\tau \\
&\quad + \int_Y m d\mu_\tau \\
&\geq n\mu_\tau(X \setminus V) + m\mu_\tau(V \setminus Y) + m\mu_\tau(Y) \\
&\geq m
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{rank}(p) \cdot d_\tau(\langle b \rangle) = \int_X \text{rank}(b)(x) d\mu_\tau = \int_O d\mu_\tau \leq 1.$$

Thus, for any $s \in \text{LDF}(A)$ we have

$$s(\langle b \rangle) + \frac{m-1}{\text{rank}(p)} \leq s(\langle a \oplus v \rangle)$$

while $\langle b \rangle \not\leq \langle a \oplus v \rangle$. The proposition follows. $\square$

The lower bound on $\text{rc}(p(\text{C}(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p)$ in Theorem 6.6 is close to $\text{drr}(A)/2$, particularly when $\dim(X)$ and $\text{rank}(p)$ are large. If a simple unital AH algebra B has $\text{drr}(B) > 0$, then the dimensions of the spectra of its building blocks and the ranks of the units of these building blocks must tend toward infinity, regardless of the injective decomposition chosen. Thus, the bound of Theorem 6.6 applied to these building blocks will be all but equal to one half of their respective dimension-rank ratios. One can obtain a lower bound in the spirit of Theorem 6.6 for the algebras of Theorem 5.1.

The proof of Theorem 6.6 yields:

Corollary 6.7. *Let A be a semi-homogeneous C^* -algebra with spectrum a CW-complex. If $W(A)$ is almost unperforated, then the dimension of the spectrum of A is at most four.*

Proof. We prove the contrapositive. Retain the notation used in the proof of Theorem 6.6. Suppose that the dimension of the spectrum of A is at least five. Construct a and b as in the proof of Theorem 6.6, and notice that $a = \pi^*(\xi_2)$. Theorem 3.1 shows that

$$\xi_2 \oplus \xi_2 \oplus \xi_2 \cong \theta_4 \oplus \eta$$

for some complex vector bundle η over Y . In other words, there is a partial isometry $v \in M_\infty(\text{C}(Y))$ such that

$$v^*(\xi_2 \oplus \xi_2 \oplus \xi_2)v = \theta_4.$$

Let (g_k) be a self-adjoint approximate unit for $\text{C}(O)$. Put $w_k = g_k \cdot \pi^*(v)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
w_k^*(a \oplus a \oplus a)w_k &= g_k \pi^*(v^*(\xi_2 \oplus \xi_2 \oplus \xi_2)v)g_k \\
&= g_k \pi^*(\theta_4)g_k \\
&= g_k(\oplus_{j=1}^4 \pi^*(\theta_1))g_k \\
&= \oplus_{j=1}^4 g_k b g_k \\
&\xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \oplus_{j=1}^4 b.
\end{aligned}$$

This is precisely the statement that $4\langle b \rangle \leq 3\langle a \rangle$. $\langle b \rangle \not\leq \langle a \rangle$ by the proof of Theorem 6.6, and the corollary follows. $\square$

Proposition 6.8. *For any $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there is a simple unital AH algebra A such that $\text{rc}(A) \geq r = \text{drr}(A)/2$.*

Proof. There is nothing to prove when $r = 0$, so fix $r > 0$. For a C^* -algebra A , let $V(A)$ denote the semigroup of Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in $M_\infty(A)$. The algebra A_{2r} constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.1 has $\text{drr} = 2r$ and stable rank one. It follows that there is an order unit preserving order isomorphism

$$(K_0(A_{2r})^+, [1_{A_{2r}}]) \cong (V(A_{2r}), [1_{A_{2r}}]).$$

Since A_{2r} is stably finite, there is an order unit preserving order embedding of $(V(A_{2r}), [1_{A_{2r}}])$ into $(W(A_{2r}), \langle 1_{A_{2r}} \rangle)$. The proof of Corollary 5.2 shows that for any $t < r$, there are projections $p, q \in M_\infty(A)$ such that $[p] \not\leq [q]$, yet $s(p) + t < s(q)$ for the unique state $s \in S(K_0(A_{2r}), [1_{A_{2r}}])$.

Let $d_\tau \in \text{LDF}(A_{2r})$ be induced by $\tau \in T(A)$. d_τ gives rise to an element of $S(K_0(A_{2r}))$, and so agrees with s on the image of $K_0(A_{2r})^+$ in $W(A_{2r})$. In particular,

$$d_\tau(\langle p \rangle) + t < d_\tau(\langle q \rangle), \quad \forall d_\tau \in \text{LDF}(A_{2r}).$$

The existence of an order unit preserving order embedding

$$\iota : (K_0(A_{2r})^+, [1_{A_{2r}}]) \rightarrow (W(A_{2r}), \langle 1_{A_{2r}} \rangle)$$

implies that $\langle p \rangle$ is not less than $\langle q \rangle$ in $W(A_{2r})$, whence $\text{rc}(A_{2r}) \geq t$; t was arbitrary, and the proposition follows. $\square$

One wants an upper bound on the radius of comparison of $A = p(C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K})p$ of the form

$$(12) \quad \text{rc}(A) \leq K \text{drr}(A), \quad K > 0,$$

where X is a CW-complex, $p \in C(X)$ is a projection, and K is independent of our choice of X and p . (This bound holds already in the case $\text{drr}(A) = 0$ by Theorem 3.4 of [23].) This would complete the confirmation of the radius of comparison as the correct abstraction of the dimension-rank ratio. Applied to the algebras of Theorem 6.6, it would show that the radius of comparison roughly determines the dimension rank ratio. Philosophically, asking for the bound in (12) is reasonable — it amounts to asking for stability properties in the Cuntz semigroup analogous to the the stability properties of vector bundles (cf. Theorem 3.1):

Question 6.9. *Does there exist a constant $K > 0$ such that for any compact Hausdorff space X and any positive elements $a, b \in M_\infty(C(X))$ satisfying*

$$\text{rank}(b)(x) - \text{rank}(a)(x) \geq K \dim(X), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

one has $a \lesssim b$ in $W(C(X))$?

It follows more or less directly from Theorem 3.1, (ii), that Question 6.9 has a positive answer upon restricting to positive elements whose rank functions take at most two values, one of which is zero, but this partial result does not address the essential difficulties of the question. Nevertheless, an affirmative answer seems likely. To generate interest in Question 6.9, we outline an application of a positive answer to it.

Conjecture 6.10. *There exists a simple, unital, separable, and nuclear C^* -algebra A of stable rank one such that*

$$A \not\cong M_n(A), \text{ some } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

yet

$$(V(A), [1_A]) \cong (V(M_n(A)), [1_{M_n(A)}]) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^+, 1).$$

The algebras A and $M_n(A)$ thus constitute a particularly strong counterexample to Elliott's classification conjecture for simple, separable, and nuclear C^* -algebras (cf. [26]).

Sketch of proof. The proof of Theorem 1.1, [31], contains a construction of a simple unital AH algebra A of stable rank one which has the properties that $\text{rc}(A) > 1/2$, and

$$(V(A), [1_A]) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^+, 1).$$

Explicitly (cf. [31]), one has

$$A = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (M_{n_i}(C([0, 1]^{m_i})), \phi_i),$$

where $m_i \leq n_i$. If Question 6.9 has a positive answer, then we may conclude that $\text{rc}(A) \leq K$. Choose $n > 2K$. Then,

$$(V(M_n(A)), [1_{M_n(A)}]) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^+, 1),$$

but $\text{rc}(M_n(A)) < 1/2$ by part (ii) of Proposition 6.2. It follows that $A \not\cong M_n(A)$, as desired. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Blackadar, B.: *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, 2nd edition, MSRI Publications **5**, Cambridge University Press 1998
- [2] Blackadar, B.: *Matricial and ultramatricial topology*, Operator algebras, mathematical physics, and low-dimensional topology (Istanbul, 1991), Res. Notes Math. **5**, 11-38
- [3] Blackadar, B., Dadarlat, M., and Rørdam, M.: *The real rank of inductive limit C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand. **69** (1991), 211-216
- [4] Blackadar, B., and Handelman, D.: *Dimension functions and traces on C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **45** (1982), 297-340
- [5] Choi, M. D., and Effros, E. G.: *The completely positive lifting problem for C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), 585-609
- [6] Cuntz, J.: *Dimension functions on simple C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), 145-153
- [7] Dadarlat, M. and Eilers, S.: *Approximate homogeneity is not a local property*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **507** (1999), 1-13
- [8] Elliott, G. A.: *The classification problem for amenable C^* -algebras*, Proc. ICM '94, Zurich, Switzerland, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland, 922-932
- [9] Elliott, G. A., Gong, G., and Li, L.: *Injectivity of the connecting maps in AH inductive limit systems*, Canad. Math. Bull. **48** (2005), 50-68
- [10] Goodearl, K. R.: *Riesz decomposition in inductive limit C^* -algebras*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **24** 1994, 1405-1430
- [11] Goodearl, K. R.: *Partially Ordered Groups with Interpolation*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **20**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1986
- [12] Gong, G., Jiang, X. and Su, H.: *Obstructions to $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability for unital simple C^* -algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull. **43** (2000), 418-426
- [13] Haagerup, U.: *Every quasi-trace on an exact C^* -algebra is a trace*, preprint, 1991
- [14] Husemoller, D.: *Fibre Bundles*, McGraw-Hill, New York 1966
- [15] Jiang, X. and Su, H.: *On a simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999), 359-413

- [16] Kirchberg, E. and Rørdam, M.: *Non-simple purely infinite C^* -algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), 637-666
- [17] Kirchberg, E. and Winter, W.: *Covering dimension and quasidiagonality*, Intern. J. Math. **15** (2004), 63-85
- [18] Lin, H.: *The tracial topological rank of C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), 199-234
- [19] Lin, H.: *Classification of simple tracially AF C^* -algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **53** (2001), 161-194
- [20] Lin, H.: *Simple AH algebras of real rank zero*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 3813-3819
- [21] Martin, M. and Pasnicu, C.: *Some comparability results in inductive limit C^* -algebras*, J. Op. Theory **30** (1993), 137-147
- [22] Nistor, V.: *Stable rank for a certain class of type I C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **17** (1987), 365-373
- [23] Perera, F.: *Monoids arising from positive matrices over commutative C^* -algebras*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **99A** (1999), 75-84
- [24] Perera, F. and Toms, A. S.: *Recasting the Elliott conjecture*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0601478 (2006)
- [25] Rieffel, M. A.: *Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **46** (1983), 301-333
- [26] Rørdam, M.: *Classification of Nuclear C^* -Algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **126**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg 2002
- [27] Rørdam, M.: *A simple C^* -algebra with a finite and an infinite projection*, Acta Math. **191** (2003), 109-142
- [28] Rørdam, M.: *The stable and the real rank of $\mathcal{Z}$ -absorbing C^* -algebras*, Int. J. Math. **15** (2004), 1065-1084
- [29] Rørdam, M.: *Stability of C^* -algebras is not a stable property*, Doc. Math. J. DMV **2** (1997), 375-386
- [30] Toms, A. S.: *On the independence of K -theory and stable rank for simple C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **578** (2005), 185-199
- [31] Toms, A. S.: *On the classification problem for nuclear C^* -algebras*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0509103 (2005)
- [32] Toms, A. S.: *Dimension growth for C^* -algebras*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0509159 (2005)
- [33] Toms, A. S.: *Cancellation does not imply stable rank one*, Bull. London Math. Soc., to appear
- [34] Toms, A. S. and Winter, W.: *Strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear
- [35] Toms, A. S. and Winter, W.: *$\mathcal{Z}$ -stable ASH algebras*, Canad. J. Math., to appear
- [36] Villadsen, J.: *Simple C^* -algebras with perforation*, J. Funct. Anal. **154** (1998), 110-116
- [37] Villadsen, J.: *On the stable rank of simple C^* -algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), 1091-1102
- [38] Winter, W.: *Covering dimension for nuclear C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), 535-556
- [39] Winter, W.: *Decomposition rank of subhomogeneous C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **89** (2004), 427-456
- [40] Winter, W.: *On topologically finite-dimensional simple C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **332** (2005), 843-878

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW BRUNSWICK, FREDER-
 ICTON, NEW BRUNSWICK, CANADA, E3B 5A3
E-mail address: atoms@unb.ca